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RESUMO: O objetivo deste artigo é estudar a possível relação entre a súplica e os Hinos homéricos longos. A análise centra-se no princípio e no fim de composições desse tipo, nas quais duas das partes tradicionais da súplica são encontradas. O artigo inicia com uma breve exposição do problema, seu objetivo e metodologia. Em seguida, após uma introdução geral sobre os hinos e as súplicas, o autor analisa dois hinos, o Hino homérico ao Apolo Délio e o Hino homérico a Hermes, de modo a mostrar que há neles um desenvolvimento do início, a invocatio, e do fim, o pedido. O objetivo é provar que há uma intencional expansão dos elementos básicos dessas seções e, assim, que esses poemas eram de fato composições ligadas ao culto, destinadas a obter a benevolência (χάρις) dos deuses.
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ABSTRACT: The purpose of this paper is to study the possible relation between prayer and the long Homeric Hymns. The analysis centers on the beginnings and endings of these compositions, in which two of the traditional parts of prayer are found. The paper opens with a brief account of the problem, its goals and methodology. Then, after a general introduction on hymnody and prayer, the author analyzes two hymns, the Homeric Hymn to Delian Apollo and the Homeric Hymn to Hermes, in order to show that in these compositions there is a development of the beginning, the invocatio, and of the ending, the request. The goal is to prove that there is an attentive expansion of the basic elements of these sections, and therefore that these poems were actual cultic compositions to achieve the good will (χάρις) of the gods.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Homeric Hymns have in current scholarship a peculiar status within hymnody. Some scholars study them as actual representatives of religious song, and therefore seek their occasion and cultic value.¹ Others consider them “rhapsodic”, or “literary” hymns,² and oppose them to what is called “cult” hymnody, which consists mainly in the for the most part poorly preserved lyric hymns (e.g. Pindar’ Paeans). The names selected for the classification are clear indicators that this distinction is not merely metrical: the scholars who use it believe that hexametric hymns in general had little or no religious importance, since they “had never been in actual use in Greek cults”.³ I believe that the assumption behind this idea is that the Homeric Hymns were not actual prayers or praises to the gods, as proper hymns should be,⁴ but mere tales of the gods, disguised with a formal introduction and ending. There is, indeed, some evidence to support this notion: the Homeric Hymns, as they are usually analyzed, show a marginalization of two of the three traditional parts of hymnody and prayer, which are apparently limited to two or three lines in the introduction and one to three final verses.⁵ Since actual hymns are, since Antiquity, “sung prayers”,⁶ such a marginalization can be interpreted, as some scholars do, as a reason to exclude those texts from “actual” hymns.⁷ However, the Ancients classified them as hymns together with their lyric counterparts, and there is little evidence that a division existed in any way in Antiquity.⁸

A formal analysis of the Homeric Hymns has led María Paz de Hoz⁹ to consider short Homeric Hymns as actual prayers. Their (other-than-metrical) exclusion from lyric hymns is, therefore, difficult to substantiate. Nevertheless, long hymns are still excluded, since they are apparently only concerned with narration. The purpose of this paper is to challenge this idea. By focusing on the strategies the poets use to emphasize the openings and closings of their hymns, I intend to show that they are actually quite engaged in achieving the main religious goals of prayer, namely, obtaining the good will (χάρις) of the god(s). This does
not necessarily mean, as pointed by De Hoz, that they were not “prooemia” to longer poems, such as epics, but that, whatever their function, their genre was that of any other hymn. The ultimate goal is to support the idea that, while a merely formal distinction between types of hymnody could be useful, a division based on the religious or pragmatical status of hymns is inappropriate.

In order to do this, after a general introduction on the parts of prayer and hymnody, I will focus on two long Homeric Hymns. I intend to show that, at least in those two cases in the extant corpus, there is a development of the introduction or the closing of the hymn, which the poets use to boost the efficacy of these sections.

2. PARTS OF PRAYER AND HYMNODY

Ancient prayer has at least two main parts: the calling of a god (the invocation or invocatio) and the expression of a wish or of gratitude for a conceded wish (the request or precatio). This is of course the most basic relation between humans and the sphere of divinity: simply to identify one of the many divine powers and then to ask something of it. Usually, this basic scheme is complicated by the inclusion, between the invocation of the god and the request, of a series of arguments that intend to convince the divinity to grant the requested wish. Pulleyn has shown that these arguments are part of an intricate system of χάρις between gods and humans. This implies that the argumentum is not meant simply to convince a god, but to remind him or her of the link between him or her and the speaker. Humans have a number of ways of establishing this connection, but it is mainly through ἄγολιματα (gifts) that they can accomplish it. These gifts are usually sacrifices of some kind, but they can also be promises, libations and other dedications. Eventually, even the way in which people ask for things adapts to this system of reciprocity and develops in order to include a pleasing of the god within the prayer. This pleasing is the song, and these songs are what we call hymns.

However, both in prayers and in hymns clearly derived from prayer the presence of the wish or the gratitude is section. It should also be noted that there is a special kind of prayer that conveys gratitude, which can also be confused with a simple praise (see Versnel 1981, 42-62). What is more, any praise to a god is a way of obtaining his or her χάρις (see the references in n. 10), and therefore, in a sense, any praise is a prayer.

5 On the parts of hymnody and prayer, see below. The classical reference on the subject is Ausfeld (1903). More modern approaches are De Hoz (1998), Furley and Bremer (2001, 1.50-63), and Morand (2001, 40-8). Also, particularly concerned with the Homeric Hymns, see Janko (1981).

6 The definition of “hymn” as “sung prayer” is at least as old as Plato (Rep. 607a and Laws 700b), and has been preserved almost unchanged, to the point that Bremer (1981) provides an almost indistinguishable one (though he puts some emphasis in the performance in cult of a proper hymn).

7 The fact that the definition mentions singing could be use for the same purpose, since hexametric compositions were allegedly not sung but recited. However, besides the fact that this does not seem to have been an important distinction in Antiquity (see, e.g., Silva-Barris 2011, 13-14), scholars agree that these compositions were originally sung, and
essential and structural. Rarely do composers of lyric hymns engage in an extensive narration (like those of the long *Homeric Hymns*), and the central part, when there is a central part, is usually concerned with a direct praise to the god. As has been mentioned above, that does not happen in the *Homeric Hymns*. These poems have a request, but usually it is a standardized salutation, without any kind of reference to a particular demand or gratitude. Only the short hymns XI, XIII, XV and XXII, the middle hymns XXX and XXXI and the long *Homeric Hymn to Demeter* (I leave aside hymn VIII, almost surely misplaced in the corpus) have some sort of specific request to the god, and in most of these cases there is a formulaic repetition in the endings that suggests that it is not a particular prayer for a given situation. There seems to be no real religious intention behind these endings, and they are usually taken as nothing more than the needed closure for the poem.

Something similar can be said of the introduction of the *Homeric Hymns*. A study on the opening formulae of these poems has led Françoise Létoublon to conclude that they are not actual prayers, since the model of prayer in the Homeric epics always include a direct call to the gods in the second person, while the hymns usually name them in accusative or genitive. However, there are counter-examples to this tendency (hymns XXI and XXIX) and the direct address to the god is always present at the end of the hymns. Létoublon’s conclusions do not depend exclusively, therefore, on her formal study of the formulae: it rests on the same assumption that lies behind the classification of hexametric hymns as “literary” or “rhapsodic”, namely, the fact that the poet is only concerned with the story he wants to tell, and that the beginning and closing of his text is nothing more than an unavoidable concession to the genre of hymnody. This can be inferred also by the fact that De Hoz, who is aware of the formularity of the opening and closing of hymns, but does not share Létoublon’s assumption, reaches a different conclusion in her analysis of the short *Homeric Hymns*.

The idea I will try to defend is that this assumption should be left aside, and that the Homeric hymnist was
very much concerned with composing a prayer. In order to do this, in the following sections I will analyze two cases in which I believe he actually develops the beginning and the closing of his poem. If it could be shown that at least in some cases that does happen, the assumption would be extremely weakened.

3. AN EXPANDED REQUEST IN THE HOMERIC HYMN TO DELIAN APOLLO

Though it has been one of the most discussed aspects of the corpus of Homeric Hymns, I shall not treat here the problem of the unity of the Homeric Hymn to Apollo. I believe that at this point enough has been said as to make considerably clear that the hymn we possess is composed of two previous ones: the Delian one and the Delphic one. Because of this, verses 165-78 of the whole text should be considered the ending of the first hymn:

άλλ’ ἀγεθ’ ἀλήκω μέν Ἀπόλλων Ἀρτέμιδι ξύν, 165
χαίρετε δ’ ὑμεῖς πᾶσαι ἐμείο δὲ καὶ μετόπισθε
μνισασθ’, ὅπποτε κὲν τις ἐπιχονίων ἀνθρώπων ἐνθάδ’ ἀνείρηται ἕξινος ταλαπείριος ἐλθό ν’ ἀκόμα, τις δ’ ὑμίν ἄνηρ ἡδίστος ἄοιδῶν ἐνθάδε πωλεῖται, καὶ τέω τέρπεσθε μάλιστα; 170
ὑμεῖς δ’ εὖ μάλα πᾶσαι ὑποκρίνασθ’ ἀμφ’ ἡμέων
τυφλὸς ἄνηρ, οἰκεὶ δ’ Χίω ἐνὶ παιπόλεσθι,
tού πᾶσαι μετόπισθεν ἀριστεύουσιν ἄοιδαί.

But, come on! may Apollo be favorable with Artemis And farewell all you, [Delian Maidens]! And from now on Remember me whenever any one of the men that inhabit earth, A foreigner coming here after much suffering asks of you: “O, maidens! Which man for you as the sweetest of singers Came here, and in whom do you most delight?” Then, all of you answer about me:

13 Versnel (1981, 10-16) explains that the need to identify gods is related to polytheism. The same idea in a different context is expressed by Pulleyn (1994).
15 The difference between Bremer (1981) and Pulleyn (1997) positions on hymnody (see n. 5) can be summed up by this statement: while the first one thinks prayer develops into and therefore includes song, the second one considers that songs can include a prayer, but that this does not make them prayers.
16 Indeed, Furley and Bremer (2001, 56-60), use the term “praise” for the central section.
18 It should also be noted that De Hoz (1998, 54) mentions lyric hymns that also lack the vocatives at the beginning (for example, Epidaurus’ hymn to Pan, PMG 936).
19 In other words, Létoublon concludes that the Homeric Hymns are not prayers because she has already assumed that they are tales about the gods (which becomes clear in pp. 34-5, the conclusions of her article), and therefore any difference with “actual” prayers must be explained through this notion. I would suggest that there are at least three other explanations, not mutually exclusive, that
“A blind man, and he dwells in rocky Chios,
Whose songs will from now onwards stand out,”
And we will carry your glory as far as over the earth
We roam to the well-inhabited cities of man;
And they will believe us, for it is true.
But I will not cease to praise far-shooting Apollo
Of the silver bow whom rich-haired Leto bore.

The extension of the quote must of course be the first indicator that there is something odd going on here. Janko takes this whole passage as the conclusion of the Delian Hymn, and points to some of the peculiarities that it shows:

Not only does the poet hail the chorus of Delian girls on the same terms as Apollo (165-6), but he then abandons the usual poetic anonymity to indulge in a sphragis at some length (166-76), in place of the prayer usual here in Hymns that end with Attributes.23 This is proved by the fact that he includes in the sphragis a request to the maidens to spread his fame, which is no doubt why they receive the Salutation at v. 166. At least he closes with the usual reference to another song (vv. 177-8).

Note the complexity of structure to which this scholar points. There is a request included in a sphragis inserted in a salutation to the Delian Maidens surrounded by a salutation to Apollo and a reference to another song. Is there some logic behind these oddities? One might consider that there is some kind of annular structure here, but the abrupt division between the address to the maidens and the final reference seems to a certain extent to diminish this possibility. At first glance, the only apparent reason for this extended conclusion is the poet’s desire of fame.

There is, however, some evidence that there is something more to be said about this passage. Miller25 notes that the phrasing οὐ λήξω for the final reference to another song is unique in the corpus. By itself, this is not very important (pace Miller), because οὐ λήξω... is semantically similar to other more common expressions like ἀλλὰς μνῆσομ’ ὑπὸ δόξας:26 “I won’t cease my singing of...” could simply mean quite “I will remember another song for...”. The expression, however, allows Mike Chappell,27 to bring
a reference to a hymn to Isis inscribed in a column in Egypt written by one Isidorus (SEG 8. 548). The final verses of this hymn are as compelling as the ones quoted above:

δεσπότη, οὐ λήξω μεγάλην δύναμίν σου ἀείδον, 25
σώτερ' ἀθανάτη, πολυώνυμε, Ἰσίο μεγίστη,
ἐκ πολέμου ῥυμένη τε πόλεις, πάντας τε πολίτας,
αὐτοὺς καὶ ἀλόχους καὶ κτήματα καὶ φίλα τέκνα.
οὗσοι δ’ εἰ μοίραις θανάτου συνέχονται ἐν ἐιρκτίν,
καὶ οὗσοι ἁγμυτικεῖς μεγάλας ὀχλοῦντ’ ὀδυνηραῖς,
καὶ οἱ ἐν ἀλλοτρίῃ χώρῃ πλανωμένοι ἄνδρες,
καὶ οὗσοι εἰμι πελάγει μεγάλωι χειμώνι πλέουσι
ἀνδρῶν ὀλυμμένων νηών κατὰ ἀγνυμενάου,
σαύρου ὦτοι ἀπαντεῖς ἐπευξημένοι σε παρέιναι.
κλάθι ἐμῶν εὐχῶν, μεγαλοθενεῖς σοῦν έχουσα,
εὐείλατος ἐμοὶ τε γείνου, λύπης μ’ ἀνάπαυσον ἀπάσης.
 Ἰσίδωρος
ἐγραφέ.

Queen, I shall not cease of singing your great power,
Immortal savior, of many names, great Isis,
Protectress from the war of cities and of all the citizens,
Of them, of their wives, of their properties and of
[their beloved offspring.
The ones that in the fate of death are trapped in a prison,
The ones that suffer in long, painful and sleepless nights
And the men who wander in foreign land,
And the ones that sail in the great sea in winter,
Their men dying under their broken ships,
All of these are saved when they pray for your presence.
Hear my prayers, [you] who have a name of great power,
Arise merciful for me and free me of all pain.

Isidorus
Wrote [it].

As in the Homeric Hymn to Delian Apollo, what can be found here is a long conclusion with a complex structure. Isidorus begins with a reference to another song, and then enumerates some attributes of Isis that become a list of the people who the goddess protects. This list has two parts: the objects of the participle ῥυμένη and the subjects of the verb σαύρωνται. The important thing to note, however, is the order of these motives: the beginning of the conclusion and a good enumeration of the arguments against unity. Since it is not important to what is to be said here, I shall not take a position in the complex matter of when and how the two poems were mixed, on which the referred texts expand considerably (see also on the subject Janko 1982, 112-115).

22 All the references to the Homeric Hymns are from the edition of Cassola (1997).
23 Janko (1981, 14-15) explains that in some hymns the final part of the middle section (Bremer’s argument) is a Prolongation of the myth, which introduces a passage with some attributes of the god. The hymns that have this prolongation usually have all three elements of the conclusion (that is, salutation, prayer and reference to another song).
25 In Miller (1979, 178).
26 Hymns II (v. 495), IIIb (v. 546), III (v. 580), VI (v. 295), etc.
27 In Chappell (2011, 63).
has a reference to another song and the ending of it has the request, particularly in this case the request for protection. In the middle of these, there is an expansion of the protective character of the Egyptian goddess. The link of this expansion should not be sought in what precedes it, then, but in what follows it: the request is justified in the hymn by the enumeration of the people protected by Isis. In other words, Isidorus seems to be saying something like “I shall continue singing to you, Isis, and since you protect all men who... protect me also”. In this variation of the typical argument in hymns da ut dedisti, a sort of ut dedisti da, the ending of this prayer founds the additional strength that the believer is always seeking in order to convince the god of helping him.

Isidorus’ hymn provides a possible solution to the problem of the structure of the ending of the Homeric Hymn to Delian Apollo. If the logic of the ending is sought in reverse, that is, the expansion as an expansion of what follows, not of what precedes it, some clarity seems to appear immediately. The poet inserts his sphragis as a way of reinforcing a typical do ut des, which is expressed in the final two lines of the poem: “Greetings, Apollo; I am the one who will be called the greatest of poets, and I will not stop singing for you”. Not only this, but the ones who will remember the poet’s name, as has been described in the last part of the myth of Apollo’s birth, are the own servants of the god (v. 157), great marvel whose glory shall never perish (v. 156). “The greatest of poets, so declared by the greatest of choruses, will sing for you again, Apollo”: that is what this bard is singing.

Within this expansion there is, as Miller suggests, a sort of miniature hymn to the Delian Maidens. However, this should not be seen as a deviation from the traditional scheme of hymnody: the request and promise to the Maidens is essential to the development of the request and promise to Apollo. The poet needs to be sure, in order to assure the god, that he is going to have a good trading coin for obtaining the good will of the son of Leto. The structure of the closing begins with the salutation of Apollo and ends with the promise to remember another song, within which there is a hymn to the Delian Maidens, which is actually

---

28 Actually, in the column where this hymn has been found there is one poem in each of the faces.

29 In Miller (1979, 182).
an expansion of the last two verses, in order to reinforce their effect on the god.

If this sort of backwards expansion is not peculiar to these couple of hymns but the general strategy for developing the final request in hexametric hymnody, which implies that it can be found in other extant texts, then we have a tool for exploring how the poets intended to relate with the gods. Notice that in both cases the expansion is not an arbitrary choice of arguments: Isidorus characterizes Isis as the protective goddess *par excellence*, and Apollo is the god of song and music, whose appearance is directly related in the hymn with the festivals of Delos. The development of the request in these poems is a development of the peculiar request they are expressing: a final attempt by the hymnist to gain the favor of the god. The sphragis in the *Homeric Hymn to Delian Apollo* is not a game for the poet, nor is it merely a sign of his inflated ego: it is a fundamental part of the strategy he is using to communicate with the god.

4. THE LONG INTRODUCTION OF THE HOMERIC HYMN TO HERMES

The *Introduction* of the Homeric Hymns has been defined quite properly as “the material down to the first relative pronoun”. It is composed with the same content as any hymnic *Invocatio*: the name(s) of the god in vocative or accusative and some epithets with a possible reference to a geographical location. With this in mind, the introduction of the Homeric Hymn to Hermes is nothing if not traditional.

Sing, Muse, of Hermes, the son of Zeus and Maia
Lord of Cyllene and Arcadia rich in flocks,
Quick messenger of the immortals, whom Maia bore,
The rich-tressed nymph, joined in love with Zeus…

---

30 There is at least one other possible case in the *Orphic Hymn to Apollo* (See Abritta 2012, 16-18).

31 On the relation between poetry, Apollo and Delos, see Kowalzig (2007, 59-80).

32 Janko (1981, 10).

33 See Bremer (1981, 194-5); Furley and Bremer (2001, 1.52-6); and Calame (2011, 337-41).

34 This is the opinion of Janko (1981) and Calame (2011, 337-8).
The simplest interpretation of the nature of what follows the first three verses is that it is the beginning of the myth of Hermes’ birth. However, some features of the following lines are odd in this view:

35 On Vergados’ position on these lines, see below, n. 40.

...αἰδοίη· μακάρων δὲ θεῶν ἡλεύαθ' ὀμιλοῦν
ἀντρον ἐσω ναιούσα παλίσκιον, ἐνθά Κρονίων
νύμφη ἐὐπλοκάμω μισγέσκετο νυκτὸς ἀμολγῷ,
ὁφρᾳ κατὰ γλυκὺς ύπνος ἤχοι λευκώλενον Ἡρν,
λήθαν ἀθανάτους τε θεοὺς θυτοὺς τ’ ἀνθρώπους.
όλλ’ ὅτε δὴ μεγάλοιο Δίος νόος ἔξετελεῖτο,
τῇ δ’ ἡδη δέκατος μεῖς ὦφρανο ἔστήρικτο,
εἷς τε φῶς ἀγαγεῖν, ἀρίσσιμα τε ἔργα τέτυκτο·
καὶ τότ’ ἐγεῖνατο παιδὰ πολύτροπον, αἰμυλομήπνυν,
ληστήρ’ ἐλατήρα βοῶν, ἡγίτορ’ ὑνείρων,
νυκτὸς ὀπωτηπηύρα, πολυθόκου, ὅς τάχ’ ἐμελλεν
ἀμφανέειν κλυτὰ ἐργα μετ’ ἀθανάτοις θεοῖσιν.
ἡδός γεγονός μέσω ἡματι ἐγκιβαρίζειν,
ἔστεριος βοῦς κλέφειν ἐκβόλου Ἀπόλλωνος,
τετράδι τῇ προτέρᾳ τῇ μιν τέκε πότνια Μαία.
ὅς καὶ ἐπεὶ δὴ μητρός ἀπ’ ἀθανάτων βόρε γυῖοιν...

...Shy goddess; she escaped the company of the blessed gods,
Inhabiting a shady cave, where the son of Cronos
Laid with the rich-tressed nymph in the dead of night,
While sweet sleep held white-armed Hera fast,
And hiding from immortal gods and mortal men.
But when the purpose of great Zeus was fulfilled,
And there the tenth moon was fixed in heaven,
She gave birth and notable deeds were produced;
And she delivered a son, of many shifts, of wily cunning,
Robber, cattle driver, captain of dreams,
Watcher of the night, keeper of gates, who soon was to
Show forth glorious deeds among the immortal gods.
Born with the dawning, at mid-day he played on the lyre,
And in the evening he stole the cows of far-shooting Apollo,
On the fourth day of the month, day on which venerable
[Maia bore him.
As soon as he leaped from his mother’s immortal womb...

This beginning has apparently three parts: the story of Hermes conception (5-12), the succession of epithets attributed to him at birth (13-15) and the events of the
first day of his life (15-19), actually the substance of the myth about to be narrated. All of these parts can be seen at least to some extent as strange in the context of the mythical section. The first curious thing is that the poet goes back in time from the moment of the birth to the moment of the conception. This is not particularly strange, since other hymns have some kind of regression like this one; however, in two of the three cases the regression is a central part of the myth (Hymns IIIa and XIX) and in the remaining one (XXXI) it appears to be pointed to describing the genealogy of the god (Helios), which clearly is not the case in the quoted passage.

The second part of this beginning is also odd: in only two other instances in the corpus there is a succession of epithets and, in both of them, it is placed in the introduction of the poem (that is, before the first relative pronoun). Finally, the proem-like lines are unique to this hymn: in no other case in the corpus does the poet describe in the first verses what he is going to narrate. Indeed, the Homeric Hymn to Hermes probably has the only proem to be found in a hexametric hymn.

The most compelling aspect of this beginning is, however, its gratuitousness: in verse 20 the poet retakes the narration of the story from the moment the god jumps out from the womb. In a way, it is as if lines 3-19 were an exordium, a part of the introduction of the hymn. And this seems to be quite reasonable: the use of the relative pronoun to introduce the myth, almost ubiquitous in the Homeric Hymns, can be taken as proof that the central part of the hymns was developed as an extension of the beginning; therefore, why would the poet not be able to use the same mechanism within a single composition to expand the introduction of an already tripartite poem? In this sense, the Homeric Hymn to Hermes shows a type of expansion, but one different from that found in the Hymn to Delian Apollo: an expansion of the Invocatio, not of the request.

Some additional arguments can be introduced to support this view, the first of which is the other Homeric Hymn to Hermes (XVIII). This 12-verse poem is almost a copy of the first ten lines of the quoted hymn, but it ends when the conception of Hermes has already been

---

36 Vergados (2013, 214), divides the first 19 lines between “Hermes’ parentage” (1-9) and “Hermes’ Birth” (10-19).
37 Hymns IIIa (beginning in v. 25), XIX (27-47) and XXXI (2-7). Also, the other Homeric Hymn to Hermes (Hymn XVIII), which I will consider presently.
38 The mere mention of a god’s genealogy cannot be considered an elaboration on it, mainly because in most cases it was standard knowledge to all listeners.
39 Hymns XIX (vv. 1-2) and XXVIII (vv. 1-4). This second hymn is another interesting case of expanded introduction, which I study elsewhere. Hymn XIX has another succession of epithets in 36-7, at Pan’s birth, but the structure of this hymn is very problematic. Vergados (2013, 228), who notes these parallels, mentions also Hymn XXIX (vv.7-8), but there the epithets are not for the recipient of the hymn, and therefore the situation is very different.
40 Vergados (2013, 125), who does not consider the tripartite structure of prayer in his analysis, understands lines 1-19 as a “Proem” to the hymn. I cannot share this approach, which (as most of his analysis) disregards the hymnic nature of the poem. Note also that the parallels he mentions are from epic, not from hymnody. This is why, while his approach explains the gratuitousness of the beginning of the
accomplished (v. 9 in both poems). That is, it does not re-
elaborate on the moment of birth: as in any other hymn
that tells the story of the origin of a god, it tells the story
only once. It is clear that this short poem has a tripartite
structure: the first three verses are an *invocatio*, between
verses three and nine there is the *myth* and the last three
verses contain two possible endings. This is another fact
that highlights the introduction of the longest hymn of
our corpus: it is composed with what is almost a completely
independent hymn.

There is another mark of the peculiarity of the quoted
passage: the epithet *πολύτροπος* (v. 13). There are only
four instances of this word in Archaic hexameter, two of
which are in this poem (the other one is in verse 439). The
other ones are in the Odyssey (I, 1 and X, 330), attributed
to Odysseus (who is a descendant of Hermes). The
epithet means literally “much-turned”; when attributed to
Odysseus, LSJ suggest the meaning “much-traveled” and,
when attributed to Hermes in the hymn, they suggest
“shifty” and “versatile”. However, it is clear that Odysseus
is as “shifty” and “versatile” as Hermes. What is most likely
is that the word has a two-folded sense: it means both that
a human or a god is cunning and wily, and that he has
many adventures. This actually accounts very well for the
placing of the epithet in the hymn: it is located precisely at
a new turn of the poem, the moment where the succession
of epithets begins. The poet seems to have placed in the
middle of his *invocatio* an explanation of the need of such a
complex introduction: the god called for is a god of many
turns, and deserves a many-turned poem.

Finally, there are textual marks that suggest that this
expansion is carefully woven in order to link each of its
constituent parts with the others. The story of Hermes
conception is surrounded by two “births” of the god, in vv.
3 and 12 (τέκε and ἑις τε φώς ἄγαγεν), while the whole
invocatio closes with a third one in v. 19 (τέκε). The extremes
of this expansion are also linked by the presence of Μόια in
vv. 3 and 19; the reference to the mother (with a variation
in formula) is natural with the mention of the birth. Moreover, the turning points inside the expansion share a
reference to time (vv. 11 and 19, which also share adverbial
and to the deeds of Hermes (ἀρίστημα ἔργα in v. 12 and κλυτὰ ἔργα in v. 16). In fact, this last reference to the ἔργα in v. 16 can be understood as the foundation of the “proem” of vv. 15-19: the relative sentence with the glorious deeds to be soon accomplished by the god is the last epithet of the succession of vv. 13-15, and the mention of the κλυτὰ ἔργα seems to inspire the poet, so to speak, to expand on the main actions of the myth. It should be noticed, finally, that this complex development of the different parts of the introduction does not affect the strict order of the sections of the poem as a whole: the myth begins in v. 20 at the same exact moment in which the “loop” of the expansion started, the moment when Hermes leaps from the womb of Maia.

The *invocatio* of a prayer is particularly important in cultic contexts because polytheism generates the need of identifying carefully the god that is being summoned. It is perhaps the most ritualistic section of prayer, since a mistake made there could invalidate completely the attempt of the mortal(s) to communicate with the divine. The introduction of the *Homeric Hymn to Hermes* is a good example of how the hymnist can elaborate on this essential section of his work in order to specify the nature of the god he is calling, not only in the mere sense of the words used (“Hermes, son of Maia, god of many turns, prince of the robbers, that invented the lyre, etc,”) but also in the structure of the composition itself: as Hermes, the hymn begins its existence with many turns. It is quite likely that the son of Maia would have been pleased with such a kind of worship.

5. Conclusion

By developing the beginning or the ending of their hymns, the poets of the *Homeric Hymn to Hermes* and *to Delian Apollo* seem to increase greatly the efficacy of their invocation and request, respectively. In the second case, by including a sphragis that exalts the poet’s abilities and fame, the promise he makes to the god of performing another song in the future is made more appealing to Apollo, and
therefore the possibilities of gaining his $\chi\omega\rho\tau\varsigma$ are improved. The expansion of the request is not simply a literary device to bring closure to the hymn: it connects what the myth has told about the god (the fact that he is responsible for the festival in Delos) with what is generally in the extant collection a formulaic ending: the promise to sing to him again. By including the salutation and request to the Delian Maidens, the blind man of Chios manages to revitalize, so to speak, the religious strength of the final promise, and therefore to increase the chances of his hymn to accomplish its main goal.

In the *Homeric Hymn to Hermes*, the expansion of the invocation produces a similar effect with this section of hymnody. When singing to a god of many turns with a many-turned poem, a many-turned introduction is the best way to go. The regression to Hermes’ conception, the list of epithets and the proem to the myth both elaborate and symbolize the complicated nature of the god, in an exceptional fusion of form and meaning.

If the methodological assumption made in the second section of this paper is accepted, namely, that a development of traditional aspects of prayers should be read as an association of the poems with that genre, the examples presented can be considered evidence in favor of the claim that the *Homeric Hymns* were much more than mere preludes to other compositions. The assumption that this proof intended to weaken, namely, that they were only concerned with the telling of a story, and therefore that they were not actual praises and requests to the gods, might still be acceptable. However, it must now deal with the fact that at least in two cases in the corpus there is a development of the parts of prayer it claims were mere formalities, unimportant concessions to the genre of hymnody. Perhaps that may blur the line between hexametric and lyric hymns, and allow us to consider both as equal gifts to the gods.
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